



EQUITY

Innovation Stretches Beyond Labels

October 1, 2018



Matthew J. Moberg
Vice President, Portfolio Manager, Research Analyst,
Franklin Equity Group®

Some of the market's most innovative companies now call a new sector home, as part of a revamp of the Global Industry Classification Standard used by index providers to classify stocks. Franklin Equity Group's Matt Moberg, portfolio manager, Franklin DynaTech Fund, explains why he thinks the changes may not go far enough.

On September 28, MSCI and S&P Dow Jones Indices officially renamed and reconstituted the telecommunications services sector under the Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) they use to categorize stocks. The new communications services sector includes media and telecom stocks, as well as companies currently in other sectors.

It may seem like a simple administrative change, but some of the world's largest companies, as defined by market capitalization, migrated to the new sector. For example, Google-parent Alphabet moved from the information technology (IT) sector, as did Facebook.¹ Netflix shifted out of the consumer discretionary sector.²

In November 2017, MSCI and S&P suggested there has been an evolution over the last several years in how we communicate and access content.³ As a result, the index providers said they were constructing the new communications services sector to include a mix of companies that "facilitate communication and offer content through various media."⁴

Innovation Is Often Misclassified

We broadly agree with the GICS reclassification and believe the new sector homes for many stocks better reflect their core business lines. However, we might suggest the GICS reform doesn't go far enough. As long-term investors, we classify companies according to their "end-market," or the need that is being met.

For example, certain credit card companies are addressing a massive shift in the consumer finance landscape, enabling the huge growth in e-commerce, but also convenience at the point of sale. Ten years ago, it would have been rare to pay for a cup of coffee with a credit card. Now it's standard practice. So, in our view, these credit card companies belong in the financials sector, not the IT sector where they will continue to reside.

In addition, video game manufacturers are competing for the dollar in your pocket that might otherwise go to a round of golf or a night at the movies. As such, we think these companies should have moved from the IT sector to the consumer discretionary sector, not the new communications services sector.

Innovation Is Everywhere

For Franklin DynaTech Fund, we look beyond standard industry classification to spot where innovation is taking place. We've always recognized that the influence of technology stretches across more than just a single sector.

As we mentioned in a [previous article](#), we see innovation as the main driving force behind value creation in the global economy. As growth-oriented investors, we believe one must invest in innovation across industries to have the potential to outperform the market.

We believe now is a ripe time to invest in innovation. We are encouraged by the new technology-driven themes we see across many industries.

However, we see these themes evolving at different paces and becoming investable at different times. That's why we think active management can really prove its worth—guiding investors into these themes when they intersect well with a good investment. In particular, we look for select investments in companies in industries where the penetration rates are low, but the addressable market is huge, and where prospects for monetization are readily visible.

The comments, opinions and analyses expressed herein are for informational purposes only and should not be considered individual investment advice or recommendations to invest in any security or to adopt any investment strategy. Because market and economic conditions are subject to rapid change, comments, opinions and analyses are rendered as of the date of the posting and may change without notice. The material is not intended as a complete analysis of every material fact regarding any industry, security or investment.

This information is intended for US residents only.

To get insights from Franklin Templeton Investments delivered to your inbox, subscribe to the [Beyond Bulls & Bears](#) blog.

For timely investing tidbits, follow us on Twitter [@FTI_US](#) and on [LinkedIn](#).

What Are the Risks?

Franklin DynaTech Fund

All investments involve risks, including possible loss of principal. The Franklin DynaTech fund's investments in fast-growing industries, including the technology and health care sectors (which have historically been volatile) could result in increased price fluctuation, especially over the short term, due to the rapid pace of product change and development and changes in government regulation of companies emphasizing scientific or technological advancement or regulatory approval for new drugs and medical instruments. The fund may also invest in small- and mid- capitalization companies, which can be particularly sensitive to changing economic conditions, and their prospects for growth are less certain than those of larger, more established companies.

These and other risks are described more fully in the fund's [prospectus](#).

Investors should carefully consider a fund's investment goals, risks, sales charges and expenses before investing. Download a [prospectus](#), which contains this and other information. Please carefully read a prospectus before you invest or send money.

[1.](#) As of August 31, 2018, Alphabet and Facebook represented 4.87% and 2.66% of total net assets of Franklin DynaTech Fund. Holdings subject to change.

[2.](#) As of August 31, 2018, Netflix represented 1.39% of total net assets of Franklin DynaTech Fund. Holdings subject to change.

[3.](#) Sources: S&P Dow Jones Indices/MSCI, "S&P DOW JONES INDICES AND MSCI ANNOUNCE REVISIONS TO THE GLOBAL INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION STANDARD (GICS®) STRUCTURE IN 2018," November 15, 2017. Indexes are unmanaged and one cannot directly invest in an index. They do not include fees, expenses or sales charges.

[4.](#) Ibid.