

FIXED INCOME

On My Mind: The Fed Will Hike Again—Because It Can

February 13, 2019



Sonal Desai, Ph.D.
Executive Vice President
Chief Investment Officer
Franklin Templeton Fixed Income

While the financial markets seem to be betting on a very extended pause in the US Federal Reserve's multiyear tightening cycle, Franklin Templeton Fixed Income Group Chief Investment Officer Sonal Desai has another idea. Here, she makes the case that interest-rate hikes may still be on the table this year.

The US Federal Reserve (Fed) performed a remarkable 180-degree turn between December and January. On December 19, the *Wall Street Journal's* opinion page was titled "Powell to Markets: Take That," with the subtitle "The Fed says more rate increases are coming, so get used to it." Just a month later, the same page was titled "The Fed Apologizes," with the subtitle "Powell continues his rehabilitation tour, and the markets applaud."

Fed Chair Jay Powell carries the torch of the "Fed put," in other words, like Yellen, Bernanke and Greenspan before him. The stock market swoons, the Fed turns dovish.

This biting criticism contains more than a kernel of truth. But it does not mean that the Fed won't hike again.

The Fed decided to pause because it thinks it prudent, and because it can. Inflation remains under control and—argues the Fed—so does financial stability. Therefore, slowing or even halting policy normalization carries no risks—and the Fed can afford to wait.


I agree that financial risks are broadly under control; but this points to more rate hikes, not rate cuts, in my view. Hear me out.

US consumers are in stronger financial health than previously thought. Most importantly, our research shows that they are much less vulnerable to stock market fluctuations than they used to be.

THE FINANCIAL HEALTH OF THE CONSUMER IS MUCH STRONGER THAN ORIGINALLY THOUGHT. THE US SAVINGS RATE REMAINS HIGH.

Personal Consumption and Wealth

March 2000 to December 2018



Sources: BEA, BLS, Bloomberg, FTI Fixed Income Research. *SA = Seasonally adjusted. **DPI = Disposable personal income

Consider: Revised data from the National Income and Product Accounts (NIPA) show that the household savings rate has remained broadly constant (at a healthy [6%]) over the last five to six years, even as household net worth surged to a record high: 700% of disposable income. By comparison, when household wealth rose strongly in the run-up to the global financial crisis, the savings rate dropped from 6% to a mere 2%.

The historical correlation between household net worth and personal savings has broken down after the financial crisis. In other words, the wealth effect is not as strong as it used to be: an increase in wealth no longer leads the household sector to meaningfully raise their consumption.

Increased levels of wealth inequality play an important role here: greater inequality means the recent rise in net worth goes disproportionately to the benefit of richer households, especially as it has been driven by rising values of financial assets. Richer households have a lower propensity to spend more as their wealth increases. The 2006 housing bubble in contrast was much more egalitarian, benefiting a wide range of households that, in response, dissaved and consumed more.

This lack of dependence on the wealth effect puts household consumption on a very sustainable footing, supported by rising income levels and less exposed to the gyrations of equity markets.


US consumers also face a considerably lower debt burden than before the financial crisis: household debt is about one-third below the 2008 peak, both as a share of GDP (gross domestic product) and as a share of disposable income. Household debt and mortgage debt service ratios have dropped to record lows, and consumer delinquency rates as a share of total bank loans are less than half what they were in 2009 (2.3% vs. 4.9%).

IS THE WEALTH EFFECT DEAD? SINCE THE END OF THE GREAT RECESSION, THE WEALTH AND SAVINGS RELATIONSHIP IN THE US HAS BROKEN DOWN.

Correlation between Household Wealth and Savings during Different US Expansion Phases

As of December 2018


Personal Savings Rate (%)



Sources: BEA, Federal Reserve, Bloomberg, FTI Fixed Income Research.

US Household Debt

March 1990 to December 2018



Sources: BEA, Federal Reserve, Bloomberg, FTI Fixed Income Research.

These data convey one clear message: the Fed has little reason to fear that further monetary policy tightening will harm household consumption. Consumers enjoy high net wealth levels, high savings rates and low debt service ratios, and their consumption decisions don't seem to react much to changes in net wealth, especially if driven by stock prices. The Fed can hike some more, and consumers will take it in stride, even with some correction in equity markets.

The Fed said it wants to be prudent and take the pulse of domestic growth, the global economy and US financial conditions. Take the robust financial health of US households together with a red-hot labor market feeding healthy wage gains, and you get a very resilient outlook for private consumption—the primary domestic growth engine. Global growth has weakened somewhat, but Europe's economy still marches above potential and China's runs at a respectable 6% pace. Barring any major shocks, we are looking at a very moderate deceleration in global growth, not a sharp slowdown. As for domestic financial conditions, they had tightened mostly because of the drop in equity markets, which have since turned around—and which seem to have limited impact on consumption, as shown above.

In the coming months, I expect the global economic outlook to prove more resilient than markets now predict, making equity investors less prone to panic. I believe the Fed will then deliver two more rate hikes—because it would be the prudent thing to do—and because it can.

If you think that Powell has just promised there won't be any more hikes, consider: Bill Dudley, who until last year was head of the New York Fed, has said that "...last week's announcements do not have significant implications for the interest rate outlook."

Ultimately, economic fundamentals will drive the show; that's what we should be watching.

View a [.pdf version](#) of this piece with more analysis [here](#).

To get insights from Franklin Templeton delivered to your inbox, subscribe to the [Beyond Bulls & Bears](#) blog.

For timely investing tidbits, follow us on Twitter [@FTI_US](#) and on [LinkedIn](#).

The comments, opinions and analyses presented here are for informational purposes only and should not be considered individual investment advice or recommendations to invest in any security or to adopt any investment strategy. Because market and economic conditions are subject to rapid change, comments, opinions and analyses are rendered as of the date of the posting and may change without notice. The material is not intended as a complete analysis of every material fact regarding any country, region, market, industry, investment or strategy.

What Are the Risks?

All investments involve risks, including possible loss of principal. Bond prices generally move in the opposite direction of interest rates. Thus, as prices of bonds in an investment portfolio adjust to a rise in interest rates, the value of the portfolio may decline. Investments in foreign securities involve special risks including currency fluctuations, economic instability and political developments.