



A More Accommodative Fed

March 22, 2016

<http://blog-dev-2.fti-projects.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/07/federal-reserve-building-150x95.jpg>



The US Federal Reserve didn't find a compelling reason to raise interest rates at its March policy meeting, maintaining its benchmark short-term interest rate (fed funds rate) in the range of $\frac{1}{4}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ percent. While this was not a surprise to most market participants, policymakers communicated a more accommodative stance, suggesting a modest course of only two rate hikes likely this year. Franklin Templeton Fixed Income Group's Chris Molumphy talks about what this means for fixed income investors, and the long-term risk he sees that many market participants seem to be overlooking.

There were no major surprises coming out of the March [Federal Open Market Committee Meeting](#), though it certainly did reinforce the very dovish bias the Federal Reserve (Fed) seems to have. We anticipate extremely accommodative policy to remain in place for the foreseeable future.

March Fed Meeting Takes More Accommodative Tone

When analyzing the specific [forecasts](#) the Fed updated at its March policy meeting, clearly the biggest shift is the update to the fed funds forecast for the end of 2016 and to some extent the forecast beyond that. What we essentially saw was a forecast that conveyed two tightenings over the course of 2016—two 25-basis-point increases in the federal funds rate. In December 2015, the Fed had communicated a cycle of four likely 25-basis-point increases, so this was a fairly significant change.

In terms of other updates we saw from the Fed's forecast, US gross domestic product (GDP) growth expectations for 2016 year were lowered slightly to 2.2% from 2.4% previously. We also saw inflation expectations scaled back a bit, with the forecast for Personal Consumption Expenditures (PCE) moving to 1.2% from 1.6% previously.

When you put all this together, the primary rationale for all of these changes (according to the Fed) reflects general expectations for weak global growth as well as concerns about financial-market volatility that came to a head the first couple of months of the year but continue to persist.

In addition to the meeting summary, Fed Chair Janet Yellen also addressed some important questions and concerns during the press conference afterward. In regard to some of the metrics on the US economy, she remained fairly positive about the US employment outlook, even mentioning wages and a slight upward bias was noted there. She continued to be reasonably constructive on the overall US economy, and pointed to some recent slight upticks in inflation. This backdrop is noteworthy in that it is accompanied by an extremely accommodative approach to monetary policy and a dovish bias as we look forward.

Global growth and other factors outside the United States can influence US employment and inflation trends, and thus, influence monetary policy. The Fed has referenced this specifically in its meetings during the first quarter 2016. Having said that, one of the key themes for investors in 2016 is this tremendous divergence between monetary policy in the United States and the rest of the developed world. Europe, Japan and even China, are pursuing even more accommodative policies than the United States. As the United States is moving (arguably at a slower pace) to a tighter policy, the rest of the developed world is continuing to ease.

As we go forward in 2016, we anticipate this monetary policy divergence will likely impact the US dollar; we would expect the dollar to appreciate against the major currencies over time. We would also expect to see an effect on longer-term US interest rates. We have already seen significant flows of global assets into US Treasuries this year, and in doing so, the level of long-term interest rates is being held down. When we look at US Treasury rates, fundamentally we would think they should be moving a bit higher, but again, that global flow into US assets is an offsetting force that we think could continue.

I'm not sure if the Fed's most recent update necessarily changes our outlook a great deal, but it offers reaffirmation of what we might expect going forward. That is, we believe even more so that we will continue to see extremely accommodative policy.

Inflation Risk

The United States is a services-dominated economy and, hence, labor-inflation tends to drive overall inflation. We know labor markets have shown gradual improvement in the United States over the past several years and unemployment rates are nearing levels where we would typically expect to see wage inflation tick up somewhat. If unemployment continues to move down and job additions remain at anything close to the strong pace we have seen over the past couple of years, we think it should translate into wage inflation moving up. Average hourly earnings are currently running a little over 2% on a year-over-year basis, as of February. We wouldn't necessarily expect that reading to rise demonstrably, but should start to tick higher over the course of this year and next. Frankly, it concerns us that extremely little focus has been placed on the possibility of wage inflation.

Looking specifically at the Fed's own projections, it tends to focus on PCE as the primary inflation metric; and even looking out over the next 2½ years, this metric looks to be at or below 2%, near where it is today. It's interesting that Chair Yellen, while agreeing with the notion that tighter labor markets generally lead to inflation, seemed to defuse any inflation-related concerns during the March meeting's question-and-answer session.

While the market consensus currently seems unconcerned about inflation, we know this could change quickly. Longer term, we certainly think higher-than-anticipated inflation is a potential risk for fixed income investors.

High-Yield Showing Signs of Stability

Looking at areas of the credit market more broadly, in December 2015 we saw media-fed volatility tied to a distressed hedge fund that negatively impacted the high-yield sector. In our view, the panic was to a large degree overblown. The sector actually hit a low point around mid-February, based on concerns for lower global growth as well as crude oil's slide below \$30 a barrel, but has rallied significantly over the past month. Investors seem to be returning to so-called risk assets, driven by the reversal of those two factors; there has been less concern about diminished global growth, and oil prices have rebounded.

Fundamentals drive a sector like high-yield, and we see a positive environment for high-yield with valuations fairly valued to even slightly cheap. It's worth noting we are getting into the later stages of this particular economic cycle in the United States, but we don't necessarily see any end to the growth in the near term.

With respect to corporate credit overall, again we are reasonably constructive based on what appear to be reasonably positive economic prospects. When we look at investment-grade credit, high-yield and leveraged loans, we see a lot of the same characteristics or fundamental drivers. When we look at corporate balance sheets and liquidity, overall we believe the corporate credit markets are in reasonable shape, and general business trends point toward a fairly constructive outlook and reasonably healthy environment.

We think greater global central bank-generated liquidity will be a positive for the corporate credit sectors and economically driven parts of the market, at least in the near-to-medium term. This would include investment-grade corporate credit, high-yield and leveraged

loans. Additionally, based on the theme of monetary policy divergence on a global basis, we would anticipate that, all things equal, the US dollar will likely strengthen versus other developed markets' currencies, particularly over the longer term.

There have clearly been some issues affecting corporate credit over the past few months; energy and commodity-related credits have driven the marketplace in general and high-yield in particular. It's our belief that bond prices for many of these companies have been trading at distressed levels, with a worst-case scenario seemingly priced in. That is, looking at the prices of some of these distressed names, the upside/downside from current prices, in our view, is significantly asymmetric. That is, asymmetric on the positive side, meaning, in our view, there is much more upside than downside. There is probably more volatility to come, but overall our view is that most of it is fully represented in market pricing.

Keeping an Eye on Inflation

I reiterate that we would be wary of potential inflation in the United States over the long term, at least more so than the market seems to be pricing in. Because of that, we are leaning toward being a bit shorter duration in most of our strategies and portfolios generally. While we will monitor fundamentals as we go forward, that is our current bias.

Finally, in our view, opportunities do continue to present themselves over the short-to-intermediate term in fixed income; longer term, we are cognizant that there could well be some rate risk down the line driven by inflation.

To get insights from Franklin Templeton Investments delivered to your inbox, subscribe to the [Beyond Bulls & Bears](#) blog.

For timely investing tidbits, follow us on Twitter [@FTI_US](#) and on [LinkedIn](#).

The comments, opinions and analyses expressed herein are for informational purposes only and should not be considered individual investment advice or recommendations to invest in any security or to adopt any investment strategy. Because market and economic conditions are subject to rapid change, comments, opinions and analyses are rendered as

of the date of the posting and may change without notice. The material is not intended as a complete analysis of every material fact regarding any country, region, market, industry, investment or strategy.

This information is intended for US residents only.

What Are the Risks?

All investments involve risks, including possible loss of principal. Bond prices generally move in the opposite direction of interest rates. Thus, as prices of bonds in an investment portfolio adjust to a rise in interest rates, the value of the portfolio may decline.

Hyperlink Disclaimer

Links can take you to third-party sites/media with information and services not reviewed or endorsed by us. We urge you to review the privacy, security, terms of use and other policies of each site you visit, as we have no control over and assume no responsibility or liability for them.

CFA® and Chartered Financial Analyst® are trademarks owned by CFA Institute.

Posted in [Fixed Income](#) Tagged [chris molumphy](#), [credit markets](#), [Fed](#), [fixed income](#), [FOMC](#), [Monetary policy](#)

Important Legal Information

FINRA's BrokerCheck

You can check the background of your investment professional on FINRA's [BrokerCheck](#).

Links can take you to third party sites/media, directly or through new browser windows. We urge you to review the privacy, security, terms of use, and other policies of each site you visit. You use any third-party site, software, and materials at your own risk. Franklin Templeton does not control, adopt, endorse or accept responsibility for content, tools, products, or services (including any software, links, advertising, opinions or comments) available on or through third party sites or software.

Franklin Templeton welcomes your feedback on this blog. To keep the conversation respectful and focused, please follow our current [Commenting Guidelines](#). We review comments and reserve the right to block any comment or commenter, including those that we may deem inappropriate or offensive. We may block any comment or commenter whose posts include investment testimonials, advice, or recommendations, or advertisements for products or services, or other promotional content.

Questions or comments about your Franklin Templeton account or customer-service issues? Please [contact us directly](#) but never include account or personal financial information in your comments.

The comments, opinions and analyses are the personal views expressed by the investment manager and are intended to be for informational purposes and general interest only and should not be construed as individual investment advice or a recommendation or solicitation to buy, sell or hold any security or to adopt any investment strategy. It does not constitute legal or tax advice. The information provided in this material is rendered as at publication date and may change without notice and it is not intended as a complete analysis of every material fact regarding any country, region, market or investment.

All investments involve risk, including possible loss of principal.

Investors should carefully consider a fund's investment goals, risks, charges and expenses before investing. To obtain a summary prospectus and/or prospectus, which contains this and other information, talk to your financial advisor, call us at (800) DIAL BEN/342-5236 or visit franklintempleton.com. Please carefully read a prospectus before you invest or send money.

Data from third party sources may have been used in the preparation of this material and Franklin Templeton Investments ("FTI") has not independently verified, validated or audited such data. FTI accepts no liability whatsoever for any loss arising from use of this information and reliance upon the comments, opinions and analyses in the material is at the sole discretion of the user.

Franklin Templeton Distributors, Inc.

© 2017. Franklin Templeton Investments. All rights reserved.

Using this site means you agree to our [Terms of Use](#)

[Click to view our Privacy Policy](#)

<http://blog-dev-2.fti-projects.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/07/federal-reserve-building-150x95.jpg>